UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA SOUTHERN DIVISION

*

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, * CR. 09-40065

*

Plaintiff,

*

vs. * REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

* ON DEFENDANT'S CHANGE

GERALD FALLIS, JR., * OF PLEA

*

Defendant.

*

This matter came before the court for a change of plea hearing on Friday, July 10, 2009. The Defendant, Gerald Fallis, Jr., appeared in person and by his counsel, Assistant Federal Public Defender Bill Delaney, while the United States appeared by its Assistant United States Attorney, John Haak.

The defendant consented in open court to the change of plea before a United States magistrate judge. This court finds that the defendant's consent was voluntary and upon the advice of counsel. The government also consented to the plea hearing before a magistrate judge.

Defendant has filed a petition to plead guilty to Count 1 of the Indictment which charges him with Involuntary Manslaughter in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1112 and 1153. At the hearing, the defendant was advised of the nature of the charges to which the defendant would plead guilty and the maximum penalties applicable, specifically: 8 years imprisonment; a \$250,000 fine; or both; 3 years supervised release; 2 additional years imprisonment if supervised release is revoked; a \$100 special assessment and restitution.

Upon questioning the defendant personally in open court, it is the finding of the court that

the defendant is fully competent and capable of entering an informed plea, that the defendant is

aware of the nature of the charges and the consequences of the plea, and that his plea of guilty to

Count 1 of the Indictment is a knowing and voluntary plea supported by an independent basis in fact

containing each of the essential elements of the offense. The defendant's guilty plea to Count 1 of

the Indictment is accepted. It is my report and recommendation that the defendant be adjudged

guilty of that offense.

NOTICE TO PARTIES

The parties have ten (10) days after service of this Report and Recommendation to file

written objections pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), unless an extension of time for good cause is

obtained. Failure to file timely objections will result in the waiver of the right to appeal questions

of fact. Objections must be timely and specific in order to require de novo review by the District

Court. Thompson v. Nix, 897 F.2d 356 (8th Cir. 1990); Nash v. Black, 781 F.2d 665 (8th Cir. 1986).

Dated this 10th day of July, 2009.

BY THE COURT:

s/John E. Simko

John E. Simko

United States Magistrate Judge

2